LEADING MEDICINE LEADING MEDICINE

Purpose/Objectives/Hypothesis Result Tables Results and Recommendation To assess the treatment efficacy of low dose prophylactic aspirin
(75-150 mg) in pregnant women at high risk for preeclampsia STUDY 1 Authors NNT P-Value To assess the treatment efficacy of low dose prophylactic aspirin
(75-150 mg) in pregnant women at high risk for preeclampsia The 2-Outcomes According to Trial Group. Gu et al. 12 P=0.001

after 11 weeks of gestation compared to placebo in an attempt to reduce incidence of preeclampsia

Background/Introduction

Preeclampsia is a disorder of pregnancy associated with newonset hypertension, which occurs most often after 20 weeks of gestation and frequently near term.

It is estimated that 4.6% of pregnancies worldwide are complicated by preeclampsia.

Usually accompanied by new-onset proteinuria, hypertension, and other signs or symptoms of preeclampsia such as right upper quadrant, epigastric pain, or headaches

Design

We conducted a PubMed search using key words: "Preeclampsia", "aspirin", and "randomized controlled trial". A total of 133 articles were reviewed. These results were narrowed to eleven randomized clinical trials. This is a evidence based review of four randomized clinical trials

Outcome	(N=798)	(N=822)	(95% or 99% CI)*	
Primary outcome: preterm preeclampsia at <37 wk of gestation no. (%)	13 (1.6)	35 (4.3)	0.38 (0.20-0.74)	
Secondary outcomes according to gestational age				
Adverse outcomes at <34 wk of gestation				
Any — no. (96)	32 (4.0)	53 (6.4)	0.62 (0.34-1.14)	
Preeclampsia — no. (%)	3 (0.4)	15 (1.8)	0.18 (0.03-1.03)	
Gestational hypertension — no. (%)	2 (0.3)	2 (0.2)	1.02 (0.08-13.49)	
Small-for-gestational-age status without preeclampsia — no./total no. (%) †	7/785 (0.9)	14/807 (1.7)	0.53 (0.16-1.77)	
Miscarriage or stillbirth without preeclampsia 	14 (1.8)	19 (2.3)	0.78 (0.31-1.95)	
Abruption without preeclampsia — no. (%)	1 (0.1)	3 (0.4)	0.36 (0.02-7.14)	
Spontaneous delivery without preeclampsia — no. (%)	12 (1.5)	12 (1.5)	1.07 (0.37-3.10)	
Adverse outcomes at <37 wk of gestation				

STUDY 2

Table 2 Comparison of the incidence of preeclampsia and early-onset preeclampsia between the aspirin and placebo group

Variables	Placebo group (n = 284)	Aspirin group			χ^2 Value	Pearson	P for trend
	(11 - 204)	Group A	Group B	Group C			
All pregnancies (n = 1105)							
With pre-eclampsia (%)	51(18.0 %)	37(13.6 %)	28 (10.1 %)	26 (9.6 %)	10.237	-0.111	0.001
No pre-eclampsia (%)	233(82.0 %)	235(86.4 %)	250 (89.9 %)	245 (90.4 %)			
Pre-eclampsia (n = 142)						201622711	De vene at trat
Early-onset (%)	14 (27.5 %)	5 (13.5 %)	2 (7.1 %)	1 (3.8 %)	8.996	-0.243	0.003
Late-onset (%)	37 (72.5 %)	32 (86.5 %)	26 (92.9 %)	25 (96.2 %)			

Mantel-Haenszel chi square test was used to determine whether there was a linear relationship between different aspirin doses and the onset of preeclampsia and preeclampsia.

STUDY 3

Table 6

Rates of preeclampsia, intrauterine growth restriction, and preterm delivery in women treated with aspirin vs placebo

Variables	Aspirin (n = 43)	Placebo $(n = 43)$	aOR (95% CI)	p	
PE	27 (62.8%)	38 (88.4%)	0.23 (0.07-0.73)	0.013	
Preterm delivery	6 (14%)	1 (2.3%)	9.78 (0.90-105.89)	0.061	

Abdi et al.	4	P = 0.013 (CI 0.07-0.73)
The Lancet plus Collaborative Group	N/A	N/a
Rolnik et al.	37	P = 0.004 (CI 0.20-0.74)

Clinical Recommendation	SOR	References
Pregnant women at high		Gu et al.
risk for preeclampsia should be started on prophylactic dose of ASA		Abdi et al.
(75-150 mg) in an attempt to reduce incidence of preeclampsia after 11	B	The Lancet plus Collaborative Group
weeks of gestation		Rolnik et al.

Future Study Directions

Do women with specific risk factors benefit more from ASA prophylaxis?

Major Inclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria were females from 11 weeks of gestation or later with high risk for preeclampsia

Major Exclusion Criteria

Exclusion criteria included unconscious or severely ill status, learning difficulties or serious mental illness, major fetal abnormality identified at the time that scanning was performed at 11 to 13 weeks of gestation, regular treatment with aspirin within 28 days before screening, bleeding VWB disorder such disease, peptic as ulceration, hypersensitivity to aspirin, long-term use of NSAIDs, and participation in another drug trial within 28 days before screening, history of chronic illness, multiple gestations, smoking, abnormal uterine artery doppler, abnormal serum level of pregnancy-associated

	i otorini doniror j	O fr a rol	1 (2.0 10)	5.10 (0.00 100.00)	
_					

Data presented as number (percentage%). Adjusted odds ratio was adjusted for maternal age, parity, and number of previous pregnancies complicated by PE. IUGR = intrauterine growth restriction, PE = preeclampsia.

STUDY 4

Entry		Women	Odds ratio & Cl	Test for
characteristic	Aspirin	Placebo	(Aspirin : Placebo)	between in differ
Prophylactic reasons			1	subgrou
for entry, subdivided	by:			
Clinical Indication				
PET ± IUGR	257/3449	200/0437		} NS
IUGR alone	10/ 543	12/ 545		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
Gestation at entry				
≤20 weeks	176/2733	222/2749		L p = 0.04
> 20 woeks	91/1259	80/1233		f (x = 3.
Puelty				
nulliparae	67/ 922	627 915		1
multiparae	210/3070	240/3067		} N8
All entered	267/ 3992	302/ 3982	13% odds	
for prophylaxis	(6.7%)	(7.6%)	(NS)	
for entry, subdivided Clinical indication PET & IUGR IUGR above	42/ 536 4/ 131	42/ 539 0/ 129		} NS
Gentation at entry				
15 258 www.ekca	36/ 435	32/ 430		} NS
> 28 weeks	10/ 232	18/ 238		1
All entered	46/ 667	50/ 668	8% 0	dds
for treatment	(6.9%)	(7.5%)	(NS)	ction
	2121 1022		12% odds	
All women entered	313/4659 (6.7%)	352/ 4650 (7.6%)	(NS)	
Difference in odds be			0.5 0.75 1.0 1.25 1.5 Aspirin Aspirin	

Figure 1: Effects of aspirin on proteinuric pre-eclampsia developing after randomisation



Which dosage is the optimal dose that maximizes effectiveness while simultaneously reduces adverse effects? Are other platelet inhibitors as effective in preeclampsia prevention?

Acknowledgments

Special thanks to:

Dr. Ehdaie and Dr. Goldberg as well as the rest of the Family Medicine Faculty members, and the Family Medicine Residents for their thoughtful guidance and review throughout.

References

1.Gu W, Lin J, Hou YY, Lin N, Song MF, Zeng WJ, Shang J, Huang HF. Effects of low-dose aspirin on the prevention of preeclampsia and pregnancy outcomes: A randomized controlled trial from Shanghai, China. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol. 2020 May;248:156-163. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2020.03.038. Epub 2020 Mar 19. PMID: 32217429. 2.Abdi, N., Rozrokh, A., Alavi, A., Zare, S., Vafaei, H., Asadi, N., Kasraeian, M. & Hessami, K. (2020). The effect of aspirin on preeclampsia, intrauterine growth restriction and preterm delivery among healthy pregnancies with a history of preeclampsia. Journal of the Chinese Medical Association : JCMA, 83(9), 852–857.<u>https://doi.org/10.1097/JCMA.0000000000000400</u> 3.CLASP: a randomised trial of low-dose aspirin for the prevention and treatment of pre-eclampsia among 9364 pregnant women. CLASP (Collaborative Lowdose Aspirin Study in Pregnancy) Collaborative Group. Lancet. 1994 Mar 12;343(8898):619-29. PMID: 7906809. 4.Rolnik DL, Wright D, Poon LC, O'Gorman N, Syngelaki A, de Paco Matallana C, Akolekar R, Cicero S, Janga D, Singh M, Molina FS, Persico N, Jani JC, Plasencia W, Papaioannou G, Tenenbaum-Gavish K, Meiri H, Gizurarson S, Maclagan K, Nicolaides KH. Aspirin versus Placebo in Pregnancies at High Risk for Preterm Preeclampsia. N Engl J Med. 2017 Aug 17;377(7):613-622. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1704559. Epub 2017 Jun 28. PMID: 28657417.

Gu et al.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (555/580 patients or 95.69%)	Statistically Significant	1 Center (China)
Abdi et al.	Yes, using Random Allocation softw are	N/a	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes (87/90 patients or 96.7%)	Statistically Significant	1 Center (Iran)
The Lancet plus Collaborative Group	Yes, using central 24-hr service at the Clinical Trial Service Unit at Oxford	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Double Blinded, Placebo- Controlled Trial	Yes (7845/8915 patient s or 88%)	Not Statistic ally Signific ant	213 Centers
Rolnik et al.	Yes, using 1:1 ratio with Web-based system	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Double Blinded, Placebo Controlled Trial	Yes (1620/1776 patients or 91.21%)	Statistically Significant	13 Centers (US, Europe, H.K.)